

OAR/ORS Committee Minutes -  
July 10, 2020  
Conference Call In

Members called in: Micah Brown, Scott Gallegos, Ryan Sandhu, Mitch Burghelea, Don Moore, Kitty O'Keefe and Michael Rukavina.

1. Announcements and Introductions
  - a. Micah Brown called the meeting to order at 10:07 a.m. and introductions were made.
  - b. Ryan Sandhu moved to accept the minutes from 10.23.19 and Scott Gallegos 2<sup>nd</sup> the motion. The minutes were accepted. Kitty will post on OUNC website.
2. OAR Committee Items
  - a. Discuss facility enclosure maintenance language-
    - i) (See Attachment 1) Micah shared the copy of verbiage and discussion followed. Members felt verbiage captured the message.
    - ii) Ryan asked, 'do we use enclosure & structure' (both)?
    - iii) Do we add descriptions? If we start adding descriptions, there's issues with revisions, etc. Keep concise and self-defined.
  - b. Update on Spanish version of Standards Manual-
    - i) Micah shared with members as a reminder we have Spanish version of SM on OUNC website. We have Spanish version of the Pocket Guide and 811 Brochure on the online store as well.
    - ii) Micah emailed DOJ about Spanish version and he shared DOJ feedback.
  - c. Discuss 'Ticket Length' language-
    - i)(See Attachment 2) Members reviewed.
    - ii) Micah reminded members he'd asked Greg at OCC to put data together and Micah will review and report back at next meeting.
    - iii) Michael asked about '2500 linear feet' and definition. Tabled till next meeting.
  - d. Discuss Mandatory White Paint-
    - i) Is current language capturing mandatory white paint? Should the Committee recommend a change to Board of Directors? Discussion followed.
    - ii) Does rule give locators the right to ask for White Paint? Law does not require marking in white.
    - iii) Micah read 952-001-0040; discussion followed. What are

drawbacks of requiring marks?

iv) Bigger companies feel this would be a burden.

v) Virtual White lining is the future. Do we add 'virtual' under law?

vi) As an excavator, they need another options. Communication between operator/excavator is the key.

vii) Do we remove (2) (a) and (b)?

viii) Micah will put language together and share at next meeting.

### 3. Old Business-

a. Discuss moving forward with past due tickets due to 'No Access/No Response'.

i) Do we change the language of 0070(10)?

ii) Do we add 'No Access' to 0070(10)?

iii) If unlocatable, do we provide marks for the excavator?

### 4. New Business –

a. Damage Ticket

i) Operational function to Call Center?

ii) Add as rule?

iii) California offers this and operators and locators can respond. Can this be an option?

iv) Does somebody want to carry this?

v) Subject tabled.

b. Review rules pertaining to unlocatable utilities and responsibilities

i) Members discussed

c. Review newly installed facilities

i) Do we have in rule 'newly' installed facilities? Yes, 0070(9).

ii) When operator has installed new facilities; not always has the call center been updated.

d. Survey locating, is it truly serving the OUNC mandate?

i) Micah asked Michael if CA does? No, they don't.

ii) Do we change survey tickets? Strain on system; potential risks.

iii) It takes away time of locator with the 2 days need to locate a ticket.

iv) WA included 'maps' to satisfy.

v) Micah asked Michael to bring to next committee meeting a proposal using his data.

e. Mandatory Damage Reporting

i) Reinforce over the year to keep the public aware before making mandatory.

ii) New ED to track and have data; learn from other states and interpret.

5. For the Good of the Order – none
6. Next Meeting – Kitty to provide Doodle Poll to members

*Motion to adjourn was made by Scott and 2nd by Mitch. Adjourned at 11:55am  
Minutes submitted by Kitty O'Keefe on July 13, 2020*