

## OAR/ORS Committee Minutes -

October 23, 2019  
PUC – Valley Conference Room  
201 High Street SE  
Salem, OR 97301

Members attending: Micah Brown, Scott Gallegos, Mitch Burghelea, Phil Boyle, Scott Clements and Kitty Davis.

Guests Attending: Greg Snyder, Kevin Hennessey, Michelle Tyree, Jim Brenneke, Mike Hieb and Larry Melzer.

Call in: Ryan Sandhu and Edgar Ramirez.

1. Announcements and Introductions
  - a. Micah Brown called the meeting to order at 10:03 a.m. and introductions were made.
  - b. Scott Gallegos moved to accept the minutes from 8.1.19 and Mitch Burghelea 2<sup>nd</sup> the motion. The minutes were accepted. Kitty will post on OUNC website.
2. OAR Committee Items
  - a. Discuss facility enclosure maintenance language-
    - i) (See Attachment 1) Scott Gallegos provided a hard copy of verbiage he wrote and discussion followed. Are we ready to put in rule? Yes. Micah will take to the board and finalize verbiage. (Do we use 'defined enclosure or structure? TBD)
  - b. Update on Spanish version of Standards Manual-
    - i) Edgar Ramirez was asked to call in and provide input because he proofread the Spanish version translation of the SM that was provided by One Hour Translation. He commented they did a great job. Suggested to group audio/visuals to this community. Micah will reach out to DOJ. Bilingual attorney was recommended as well.
  - c. Continue discussion concerning OUNC wildfire notification-
    - i) Moving to Old Business, no longer action item.
  - d. Discuss "No Access/No Response" locate requests-
    - i) Micah asked the group 'how much time do we except operators to get a resolve? Does the ticket remain open past the two business days if unable to gain access? Is the operator held responsible for inaccessible facilities? Does responding to site and documenting "no access" satisfy the operator's requirement to provide "response"? Kevin Hennessey stated that the definition "unlocatable" in the OAR's would be the rule used for no access claiming that facilities that were

- inaccessible to be unlocatable. Micah disagreed that the definition intention for the interpretation to encompass no access.
- e. ii) Do we add on the ticket a yes, no or maybe box? Asking 'Is there access? Or, No access?
  - f. Iii) Should the call center remove "No Access" from the voluntary positive response list?
  - g. Discuss 'Ticket Length' language -
    - i) Michelle Tyree from Avista shared some concerns and frustration. Discussion followed.
    - ii) Greg explained Oregon has no defined 'large area' definition.
    - iii) (See Attachment 2) Micah showed members his proposal for large area definition. Discussion followed.
    - iv) Kevin H. suggested collecting "open source" data of locates that show extensive lengths. Use Call Center data.
  - h. Discuss Mandatory White Paint-
    - i) Discussion of what's currently on SM on pg. 24.
    - ii) 50% of states are mandatory. Most board members are in favor.
    - iii) Micah asked 'do we present this? Yes'. Collect data and bring to board. Does Damage Report/Dirt committee take this on? Micah will discuss with OUNC Board.

### 3. Old Business-

- a. Active Ticket Status of updated request
  - i) Discussion- concluded that the need to keep a project ongoing without stopping, an excavation would need all tickets to remain active for the 45-day period. Action item will be removed from OAR agenda.

### 4. New Business – none

### 5. For the Good of the Order – none

### 6. Next Meeting - tbd

*Minutes submitted by Kitty Davis on October 25, 2019*